

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,761	07/13/2001	Gaylon S. Campbell	8050	3577
75	90 03/25/2003			•
L. GRANT FOSTER HOLLAND & HART LLP 555-17TH STREET, SUITE 3200			EXAMINER	
			JACKSON, ANDRE K	
P.O. BOX 8749 DENVER, CO 80201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
22. · En, 00	00201		2856	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Roses Pextbook

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/905,761	CAMPBELL ET AL.				
,	Examin r Andre' K. Jackson	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		2856 correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 D	ecember 2002					
` <u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-7 and 9-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6 and 9-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	•					
a) The translation of the foreign language prov 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has been rece	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) S. Patent and Tradement Office	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 6,9-12,14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woodhead et al.

Regarding claim 6, Woodhead et al. discloses an oscillator to provide a square wave voltage signal and a transmission line having an input and an output and a phase detector detecting a phase difference between the square wave voltage provided by the oscillator and the transmission line and the phase detector providing an output signal indicative of the phase difference caused by changes in moisture content of a medium surrounding the transmission line (Columns 2-5).

Regarding claim 9, Woodhead et al. disclose providing a transmission line having an input and an output, embedding the transmission line into a bulk material, providing a signal to the in put of the transmission line providing a phase detector and the phase detector

measuring a phase difference between the reference signal and the output signal from the transmission line to determine a moisture content of the bulk material surrounding the transmission line (Abstract, columns 2-5).

Regarding claim 10, Woodhead et al. disclose determining the dielectric constant of the bulk material by the phase difference to measure the moisture content of the bulk material (Abstract).

Regarding claim 11, Woodhead et al. disclose where a time domain reflectometry waveform is used to measure the phase difference (Column 2).

Regarding claim 12, Woodhead et al. disclose where a frequency domain waveform is used to measure the phase difference (Columns 2-4).

Regarding claim 14, Woodhead et al. disclose where insulating the transmission line form the bulk material being measured (Column 3).

Regarding claim 15, Woodhead et al. disclose using a circuit to route signals secure circuit components and secure the transmission line (Columns 2-4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 2856

to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woodhead et al. in view of Campbell et al.

Regarding claim 2, Woodhead et al. discloses a "Dielectric constant monitor" which discloses first and second elongate members (Column 2) an oscillator to provide a square wave signal and a transmission line being coupled to receive the square wave voltage signal form the oscillator through a resistor and a phase detector to detect the difference in phase between the square wave voltage signal and the signal provided to the transmission line, the phase detector being further constructed to provide an output signal indicative of the difference in phase between a square wave signal provided to the transmission line through the resistor and response of the transmission line (Columns 2-5). What is not explicitly disclosed by Woodhead et al is where the sensor electronics is mounted on the first member. However, Campbell et al. disclose an "Evaluation of simple transmission line oscillators for soil moisture measurement" which has where the sensor electronics is mounted on the first member (Page 37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Woodhead et al. to include where the sensor electronics is mounted on the first member as taught by Campbell et al. since this would make the invention more compact. Direct current is not explicitly disclosed by Woodhead et al.; however, it is considered a

Art Unit: 2856

design choice and well within the purview of the skilled artisan to include a direct current source.

Regarding claim 3, Woodhead at al. disclose where the sensor electronics is proportional to the water content of bulk material (Abstract, column 2).

Regarding claim 4, Woodhead et al. discloses a semiconductor circuit having first and second inputs and an output where the output of the circuit being indicative of a phase difference of the signals applied to the first and second inputs of the semiconductor circuit and being coupled to an oscillator to receive the square wave voltage signal and the second circuit coupled to the transmission line and a resistor and a capacitor (Columns 2-5).

Regarding claim 5, Woodhead et al. disclose where the dielectric constant of a bilk medium is using a transmission line embedded in the bulk material and the transmission line comprising traces on an elongated circuit board having a semiconductor circuit (Columns 3 and 4).

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Woodhead et al. in view of Campbell et al.

Regarding claim 13, Woodhead et al. does not explicitly disclose where the transmission line is secured within a circuit board. However, Campbell et al. disclose where the transmission line is secured within a

Art Unit: 2856

circuit board (Page 37). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill of the art at the time of invention to modify Woodhead et al. to include the transmission line is secured within a circuit board as taught by Campbell et al. since they are from the same field of endeavor.

- 6. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andre' K. Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 305-1522. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on (703) 305-4705. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Page 7

HELLN AVVOK PRIMARY, EXAMINED

,

.